

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

**NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES**

**FILED**

2008 AUG 26 AM 10: 20

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Gonzalez CLERK US DISTRICT COURT  
FROM: R. Mullin Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/19/2008  
CASE NO.: 08cv1037 IEG (PCL) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Petitioner DEPUTY  
CASE TITLE: Salceda v. Salazar, et al  
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for Extension of Time of 15-days to Pay \$5 Filing Fee....

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/>	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/>	7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/>	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/>	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
<input type="checkbox"/>		<u>OTHER:</u>

Date forwarded: 8/20/2008

**ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Filing fee Paid 8/25/08  
[doc no 6]

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☒ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: ITC

Dated: 8/26/08  
cc: All Parties

By: [Signature]

Leovardo Salceda J-90933  
CVSP, D9-237 Low  
P.O. Box 2349  
Blythe, CA 92226

In pro se

**REJECTED**

*Original*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LEOVARDO SALCEDA,  
Petitioner,

v.

JOHN F. SALAZAR, Warden,  
et al., Respondent.

Civil No. 08-1037 IEG (PCL)  
MOTION FOR EXTENTION OF TIME  
OF 15-DAYS TO PAY \$5 FILING  
FEE OR FILE CERTIFIED IN FORMA  
PAUPERIS APPLICATION

\*) Current deadline 9-8-08

On 6-6-08, petitioner mailed to this Honorable Court a habeas petition, application for equitable and statutory tolling, and copy of \$5 trust withdrawl and un-certified In Forma Pauperis (IFP). In a cover letter to the Clerk of the Court, petitioner explained the \$5 check and certified IFP should arrive within a couple of weeks. The petition and related documents were filed on 6-10-08, Civil No. 08-1037 IEG (PCL). On 7-28-08, the Court denied the IFP application, noted the \$5 did not arrive, and dismissed the case without prejudice. In order to reopen the case, petitioner needs to pay \$5 or file certified IFP before 9-8-08.